Thereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date set forth below as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date of Signature and Deposit: February 2, 1998

PATENT

FFP 17 1998

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 08/591,246 Group Art Unit: 1815

Filed: January 18, 1996 Examiner: B. Brumback

For: PRIMATE EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS File No.: 960296.93723

RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner For Patents Washington DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated December 28, 1997 in the file of the above-identified application, the applicant responds with the following remarks and the Declaration submitted herewith:

In the Office Action dated December 18, 1997 in the file of this application, the rejection of Claims 1 to 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the deposit requirement was maintained. This was the only rejection applied by the Examiner against the claims of the application. The Examiner also indicated, in paragraph 11, that the amendment to the claims to recite the limitation to a specific cell line designated as R278.5, and compliance with the deposit requirement, would place the claims in condition for allowance.

The applicant submits herewith that the Examiner's requirement that a deposit be made in conjunction with the filing of this application is inappropriate. For similar and related reasons, the applicant asserts that the claims of this application should not be limited to the exemplary cell line R278.5.

The applicant first wishes to thank the Examiners
Brumback and Woodward for the telephone interview granted on



January 22, 1998 with the undersigned. During that interview, arguments related to the deposit requirement and the scope of the claims. Those argument are recapitulated in this response. Also in the interview, the applicant indicated a willingness to supply a Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. §1.132, containing additional information about the testing which had been conducted on the primate embryonic stem cells created in the applicant's laboratory. Such a Declaration is submitted herewith.

In the Declaration, inventor Dr. James Thomson provides additional information about the numerous other cell lines created through the procedures described in this patent application. Dr. Thomson believes, based on experimental and research evidence so far, that it is readily possible to recreate any number of independent primate embryonic stem cell lines using the methods described in the present patent application. While his laboratory has not tested every cell line so far created for every one of the criteria described in the application, all the criteria have been applied to some of the cell lines no negative results have been obtained from the cell lines identified. These cell lines also have a distinctive morphology which is reproducible, recognizable and distinguishable. In short, it is readily possible to make any number of cell lines using the methods of the present invention which cell lines meet the limitations contained in the claims of the present application.

As regard the deposit requirement imposed by the Examiner, please note that no claim in this application claims any specific cell line. This is not an accident. The applicant does not believe that any particular one of the embryonic cell lines created by the applicant is particularly more valuable than any other. What the applicant has done here is describe a methodology which can be used to create any number of cell lines having defined characteristics.

Accordingly, since the methods described for creating those cell lines are carefully described in the specification, and since the specification may be followed to create any desired

number of such cell lines, it is the applicant's understanding that a deposit should not be required, since the application itself is fully enabling for the invention described.

Similarly, the applicant believes that the claims of the present invention cover subject matter beyond the exemplified cell line R278.5. The applicant's disclosure defines a methodology to create any number of other cell lines having similar useful characteristics. It is for that reason, that the claims describe primate embryonic cell lines by those characteristics, which characteristics define the cell lines created to be unique and distinct from those which had previously existed in the art. The cell lines created through the methodology of the present specification have been explicitly described in the claims by those characteristics which make them unique. Accordingly, it is believed that the claims ought to be broader than the one exemplified cell line R278.5, and should encompass the other cell lines created by the methodologies described in the application.

For that reason, it is requested that the Examiner reconsider the merits of this rejection. It is believed by the applicant that no deposit is necessary to enable this application and further that the claims as they are presently pending are properly commensurate with the scope of what has been enabled by this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Sealy Nicholas Reg. No 27,386

Attorney for Applicant

QUARLES & BRADY

P.O. Box 2113

Madison, WI 53701-2113

(60.8)251-5000

QBMAD\153533

PTO/SB/17 (6-95)

27,386

Reg. Number

	ef.	Patent and Tradem	ark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
02/PTO U.S. Department of Commey, 10/97 Patent and Trademark Offic		Complete if Known	
	raterit and mademark ember	Application Number	08/591,246
FEE TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	01/18/96
		First Named Inventor	James A. Thomson
		Group Art Unit	1815
		Examiner Name	B. Brumback
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	\$	Attorney Docket Number	920296.93723

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT \$	Attorney Docket Number 920296.93723		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCIU ATION (continued)		
WETHOD OF PATIVIEW (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)		
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:	3. ADDITIONAL FEES		
Deposit Account Number 17-0055	Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Description Paid		
Deposit	105 130 205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		
Deposit Account Name Quarles & Brady	127 50 227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet		
X Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 Charge the Issue Fee Set in 37 CFR Allowance, 37 CFR 1.311(b)	139 130 139 130 Non-English specification		
CFR 1.16 and 1.17 Allowance, 37 CFR 1.311(b)	147 2,520 147 2,520 For filing a request for reexamination		
2. Payment Enclosed:	112 *920 112 *920 Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		
Check Money Other	113 *1,840 113 *1,840 Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		
	115 110 215 55 Extension for response within first month		
FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/97)	116 400 216 200 Extension for response within second month		
1. FILING FEE	117 950 217 475 Extension for response within third month		
Large Eptity Small Eptity	118 1,510 218 755 Extension for response within fourth month		
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid Code (\$) Code (\$)	119 310 219 155 Notice of Appeal		
101 790 201 395 Utility filing fee	120 310 220 155 Filing a brief in support of an appeal		
106 330 206 165 Design filing fee	121 270 221 135 Request for oral hearing		
107 540 207 270 Plant filing fee	138 1,510 138 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding		
108 790 208 395 Reissue filing fee	140 110 240 55 Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application		
114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee	141 1,320 241 660 Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application		
	142 1,320 242 660 Utility issue fee (or reissue)		
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	143 450 243 225 Design issue fee		
	144 670 244 335 Plant issue fee		
2. CLAIMS Extra Fee from Fee Paid	122 130 122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner		
Total Claims -20= X =	123 50 123 50 Petitions related to provisional applications		
Independent -3= X =	126 240 126 240 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		
Multiple Dependent Claims =	581 40 581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)		
Large Eptity Small Eptity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	146 790 246 395 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))		
	149 790 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))		
103 22 203 11 Claims in excess of 20			
102 82 202 41 Independent claims in excess of 3	Other fee (specify)		
104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim 109 82 209 41 Reissue independent claims over	Other fee (specify)		
original patent			
110 22 210 11 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	SUBTOTAL (3)		
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid		
SUBMITTED BY	Complete (if applicable)		

Typed or Printed Name

Nicholas J. Seay